



City Clerk Council and Public Services &lt;clerk.cps@lacity.org&gt;

---

**To LA city mayor Eric Garcetti, SB, you are violating LA citizens' constitutional rights. SB.**

1 message

**Shenqi Daj** <dajshenqi@gmail.com>

Fri, Sep 30, 2022 at 12:02 PM

To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

To LA city mayor Eric Garcetti, SB:

You are violating LA citizens' constitutional rights, because you forbid LA citizens to enter LA city government building, who didn't have the vaccine certification.

Many many citizens could not take the vaccine because of his or her health problem, they just couldn't take the vaccine.

But you made the policy to forbid these citizens enter LA city government building to file their documents.

Right now, all the world and most countries are free to enter without vaccine certification, because the whole world knew the COVID now is not life threatening just like the flu, no necessary to request vaccine as mandatory.

I entered the LA Court house for several times last month and this month, plus last 12 months, LA Court house security never asked LA citizens to show their proof of vaccine or test negative paper.

Why you are violating LA citizens' right to enter your LA city government building.

Why LA Court house allowed all to enter without the request of the vaccine certification or test negative proof.

LA city mayor Eric Garcetti, I am asking you to cancel your mandatory of vaccine certification for entering the LA city government building. SB

LA city mayor, SB

I demand you to reply me immediately.

Citizen Daj



City Clerk Council and Public Services &lt;clerk.cps@lacity.org&gt;

## Fwd: File No. 21-0042-S3 :: AD HOC COMMITTEE ON COVID-19 RECOVERY AND NEIGHBORHOOD INVESTMENT REPORT

1 message

Office of the City Clerk &lt;cityclerk@lacity.org&gt;

Fri, Sep 30, 2022 at 8:59 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services &lt;clerk.cps@lacity.org&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Coalition of Small Rental Property Owners** <smallrentalpropertyowners@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2022 at 11:40 AM

Subject: File No. 21-0042-S3 :: AD HOC COMMITTEE ON COVID-19 RECOVERY AND NEIGHBORHOOD INVESTMENT REPORT

To: <Councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, <Gerald.gubatan@lacity.org>, <Tony.ricasa@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.blumenfeld@lacity.org>, <John.popoch@lacity.org>, <Lisa.hansen@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.raman@lacity.org>, <John.nuni@lacity.org>, <Ivette.serna@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.koretz@lacity.org>, <Justin.orenstein@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <Alexis.wesson@lacity.org>, <Anna.hovasapian@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org>, <paola.bassignana@lacity.org>, <liz.saldivar@lacity.org>, <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, <Maurice.johnson@lacity.org>, <Rachel.brashier@lacity.org>, Solomon Rivera <solomon.rivera@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.price@lacity.org>, <Curtis.earnest@lacity.org>, <Heather.hutt@lacity.org>, Kimani Black <kimani.black@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <Krista.kline@lacity.org>, <Councilmember.lee@lacity.org>, Joshua Yeager <Josh.yeager@lacity.org>, <Erich.king@lacity.org>, <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, <david.giron@lacity.org>, <star.parsamyan@lacity.org>, <Christine.peters@lacity.org>, <councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org>, <jennifer.barraza@lacity.org>, <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, <councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org>, <dennis.gleason@lacity.org>, <jenny.chavez@lacity.org>, <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Greetings Councilmembers,

First, I want to thank the members of the Los Angeles City Housing and Ad Hoc Covid-19 Committees for their time and diligence in reviewing and making recommendations to the LAHD Eviction Moratorium report.

I am writing now to share my concerns and request clarification about Section 1 of the Ad Hoc and Covid-19 Recovery and Neighborhood Investment Report from the Committee's meeting yesterday, Wednesday, September 28 outlining recommendations for Council action.

### Subsection 1.a.i.

- The term "notice" should be replaced with "written documented proof" and examples of acceptable forms of proof should be enumerated. Otherwise, the "notice" requirement may be satisfied if a renter merely informs a landlord (by any method -- e.g., phone call, text, email, letter) that they are experiencing financial hardship related to Covid-19. This is not very different from the status quo. It will continue to keep property owners vulnerable to bad acting renters, similar to self-attestation which is inconsequential for all practical purposes.
- The term "Financial Impacts Related to COVID-19" is overly broad and should be explicitly and narrowly defined. As written, a renter who has not gotten Covid-19 could be deemed to have experienced a financial impact "related" to Covid-19 in a situation where the renter chooses to financially support a distant relative or a friend who finds themselves in a financial bind as a result of their having caught Covid-19.
- The recommendations in this report are silent on a date by when renters will no longer be able to claim financial impact due to Covid-19. Is it the Council's intention to permit renters to claim financial hardship due to Covid-19 for as long as Covid-19 exists, even though it will likely be with us in perpetuity similar to the flu?

### Subsections 1.b.c. and 1.b.d.

- If a renter does not pay rental debt accumulated due to Covid-19 by the due dates set forth in each of these subsections, may a landlord immediately proceed with filing an unlawful detainer or will a waiting period be imposed?

### Subsection 1.b.d.

- This subsection 1.b.d. gives renters until February 1, 2024 to repay rental arrears accumulated for rent due from October 1, 2021-January 31, 2023 **due to COVID-19 financial impact**. It is patently wrong for this repayment period to apply to the multitude of opportunistic renters who, despite their ability to do so, have not paid rent over the past 2.5 years simply because they were not required to show proof of hardship. Please create a carve out to address this scenario fairly and prevent further rewarding of bad behavior.

Thank you in advance for meaningful consideration of the foregoing concerns.

Best,  
Diane Robertson  
Coalition of Small Rental Property Owners  
(917) 687-1018



City Clerk Council and Public Services &lt;clerk.cps@lacity.org&gt;

**Fwd: AD HOC Committee REPORT feedback 21-0042-S3**

1 message

**Office of the City Clerk** <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Fri, Sep 30, 2022 at 9:14 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services &lt;clerk.cps@lacity.org&gt;

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kendall** <kennos@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Sep 29, 2022 at 10:47 PM

Subject: AD HOC Committee REPORT feedback 21-0042-S3

To:

Greetings Councilmembers and Staff,

First, I want to thank the members of the Los Angeles City Housing and Ad Hoc Covid-19 Committees for their time and diligence in reviewing and making recommendations to the LAHD Eviction Moratorium report.

I am writing now to share my concerns and request review of Section 1.b i & ii of the Ad Hoc and Covid-19 Recovery and Neighborhood Investment Report from the Committee's meeting yesterday, Wednesday, September 28 outlining recommendations for Council action.

Housing providers who were not permitted to rectify their Government Orders due to the City's COVID-19 eviction moratorium should not be further penalized, and a tenant not further profit, due to crossing that pivotal 3-year tenancy threshold defined in the RSO. The recommendations in this report identifies and addresses the City's COVID-19 eviction moratorium period between March 4, 2020 to January 31, 2023, which noncompliance and related inspection fees shall be waived, however, it is silent on a related statute, Relocation Assistance 3-year threshold. The report in its current form has a gap which further rewards bad tenant behavior coupled with broken City policy. **Instead this Relocation Assistance should be waived, reduced to State AB1482's 1-month rent amount, or at a minimum the City's moratorium period should be subtracted from a tenancy length.** Is it the Council's intention to distribute excessive private welfare to tenants with the 3+ year tier of Relocation Assistance from the pockets of small mom & pop landlords even though this 2.5 year dead-period was identified and addressed for City fees? I would hope the Council corrects this important and overlooked detail in the LAHD housing report and its amendments. I have included the 2 natural subsections where more precise language can handle this gap below, in red.

## Subsection 1.b

i. Evictions undertaken in order to comply with a Government Agency Order that requires the rental unit to be vacated, shall be allowed immediately upon the effective date of the ordinance amendment, in accordance with the procedures in LAMC 151.09; **additionally Relocation Assistance amount this shall be reduced to 1-month rent, or the duration of time between March 4, 2020 to January 31, 2023 shall be subtracted from a total length of tenancy**

ii. Provide that noncompliance and related inspection fees imposed between March 4, 2020 and January 31, 2023, due to a landlord's inability to comply with a Government Order to Vacate as a result of the City's COVID-19 eviction moratorium shall be waived by both LAHD and LADBS; **additionally Relocation Assistance amount this shall be reduced to 1-month rent, or the duration of time between March 4, 2020 to January 31, 2023 shall be subtracted from a total length of tenancy**

Tenant protections should not result in further harm and devastation to small housing providers. We have been trying to rectify a Government Order with an illegal subletter since November 2020 to no avail. The illegal subletter should not be rewarded extra Relocation Funds for squatting over 3 years because the City would not allow us to Comply to their own Order! Our family implores the Council to correct this detail that will have a major impact on all mom & pop landlords. I am open to further discussion.

Sincerely,  
Kendall, on behalf of the Sor family  
CSRPO, AAGLA



City Clerk Council and Public Services &lt;clerk.cps@lacity.org&gt;

---

**LA city mayor Eric Garcetti, SB: You are violating LA citizens' constitutional rights, you are Communist Nazi, you have to stop your breaching USA Constitution.**

1 message

---

**Shenqi Daj** <dajshenqi@gmail.com>  
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Fri, Sep 30, 2022 at 12:47 PM

LA city mayor Eric Garcetti, SB:

You are violating LA citizens' constitutional rights, because you forbid LA citizens to enter LA city government building, who didn't have the vaccine certification.

Many many citizens could not take the vaccine because of his or her health problem, they just couldn't take the vaccine bases on medical situation.

But you made the policy to forbid these citizens enter LA city government building to file their documents, who didn't take the vaccine and don't have the vaccine certification..

Right now,all the world and most countries are free to enter without vaccine certification, because the whole world knew the COVID now is not life threatening just like the flu, no necessary to request vaccine as mandatory.

I entered the LA Court house for several times last month and this month,plus last 12 months, LA Court house security never asked LA citizens to show their proof of vaccine or test negative paper.

Why you are violating LA citizens right to enter your LA city government building as citizens constitutional rights.

Are you Communist? In China now, Chinese Communist party forbid Chinese citizens to leave the home or take the bus or enter the government building,if they don't have the vaccine certification or test COVID negative paper.

Why LA Court House allows all citizens to enter it without the request of the vaccine certification or test negative proof as mandatory.

LA city mayor Eric Garcetti, I am asking you to cancel your mandatory of vaccine certification for entering the LA city government building. SB

You have to stop your violating US Constitution plus you have to stop your Communist behavior.

This is USA, this is not Mexico, this is not Communist China too, this is not German Nazi country too.

LA city mayor, SB.

You are really ridiculous SB.

I demand you to reply me immediately. SB

Citizen Daj